

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,016	08/29/2006	Atsushi Sano	129239	6640
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 01/20/201 PRIDGE PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ESSEX, STEPHAN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/591.016 SANO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit STEPHAN ESSEX 1795 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 November 2009.

20)	This action is Title. 20/21 This action is not Final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2009 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				

| Specification | Specificati

	ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). e action for a list of the certified copies	not received.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Real information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTOR Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper (PTO-948) Paper (56/08) 5) Notice	riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date c of Informal Fatert Application
.s. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20100115

Application/Control Number: 10/591,016 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

 The applicant's amendment filed on November 5, 2009 was received. Claim 3 was cancelled. Claim 1 was amended.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Relections - 35 USC § 102

 In view of Applicant's arguments, the Examiner withdraws the previously set forth rejection of claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Sotomura as detailed in the Office Action dated July 21, 2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,016
Art Unit: 1795

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sotomura in view of Cooper et al. (hereinafter "Cooper") (U.S. Pat. No. 5,316,990).

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 4-7, Sotomura teaches a method of making a composite electrode for use as an oxygen electrode or air electrode (cathode) in a fuel cell (see paragraph 1). The composite electrode contains an electrochemical catalyst A and an electrochemical catalyst B, wherein electrochemical catalyst A and/or the electrochemical catalyst B can be deposited by an electrochemical method such as a potential sweep method (see paragraph 54). Sotomura discloses an example of such a method wherein cobalt-4,4',4",4"'-tetraamino phthalocyanine (CoTAPc) is dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (solvent) in order to form an electrochemical catalyst layer of p-CoTAPc (see paragraphs 58 and 59).

Sotomura does not explicitly teach providing a potential higher than 1.3 V or 1.6 V or less with reference to a standard hydrogen electrode.

Cooper teaches a catalyst material derived from precious metal-containing macrocyclic compound (metal complex) precursors (precursor layer). Said precursors undergo an activation process, which modifies the chemical state of the catalytic metal, to product the precious metal catalyst material. Suitable precious metals include Ru and suitable macrocyclic compounds include porphyrins and pthalocyanines (see col. 3, lines 14-17; col. 4, lines 28-32 and 41-42; col. 5, line 31-36). The catalyst is activated

Art Unit: 1795

by sweeping an applied potentional between 0.05 and 2.0 V with reference to a dynamic hydrogen electrode. In the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by prior art" a prima facie case of obviousness exists. See *In re Wertheim*, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990); *In re Geisler*, 116 F.3d 1465, 1469-71, 43 USPQ2d 1362, 1365-66 (Fed. Cir. 1997) (see MPEP § 2144.05).

Further regarding claims 4-6, Sotomura teaches that electrochemical catalyst A may comprise metal complexes such as metal phthalocyanines or metal porphyrins having a porphyrin ring such as iron phthalocyanine, cobalt phthalocyanine, copper phthalocyanine, or manganese phthalocyanine (see paragraph 39).

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEPHAN ESSEX whose telephone number is (571)
 270-7866. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dah-Wei Yuan can be reached on (571) 272-1295. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,016 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SJE

/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795